UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE HERLEY INDUSTRIES INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

CIVIL ACTION

No. 06-2596 (JRS)

CLASS ACTION

SECTION 20(a) VERDICT FORM FOR DEFENDANTS LEE BLATT, MYRON LEVY, ANELLO C. GAREFINO, THOMAS V. GILBOY AND JOHN M. KELLEY

Section 20 (a) Claims Against Defendant Lee Blatt

1.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lee Blatt had the authority			
to control Def	to control Defendant Herley Industries, Inc. pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Securities and			
Exchange Ac	t of 1934 during the class period?			
	Yes No			
	If you answered "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 2.			
	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question No. 4 on the next page.			
2.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lee Blatt was a culpable			
participant in	Herley's alleged securities fraud pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Securities and			
Exchange Act	t of 1934?			
	Yes No			
3.	If you answered "Yes" to questions 1 & 2, do you find by a preponderance of the Lee Blatt acted in good faith?			
evidence that	Yes No			
PLEA	SE CONTINUE TO THE NEXT PAGE			

Section 20 (a) Claims Against Defendant Myron Levy

4.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Myron Levy had the			
authority to control Defendants Herley Industries, Inc. and Lee Blatt pursuant to Section 20(a) of				
the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the class period?				
	Yes No			
	If you answered "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 5.			
	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question No. 7, on the next page.			
5.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Myron Levy was a culpable			
participant in Herley or Blatt's alleged securities fraud pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Securities				
and Exchange Act of 1934?				
	Yes No			
6. evidence that	If you answered "Yes" to questions 4 & 5, do you find by a preponderance of the Myron Levy acted in good faith? Yes No			

PLEASE CONTINUE TO THE NEXT PAGE

Section 20 (a) Claims Against Defendant Anello C. Garefino

7.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Anello C. Garefino had the			
authority to control Defendants Herley Industries, Inc. and Lee Blatt pursuant to Section 20(a)				
of the Securit	ies and Exchange Act of 1934 during the class period?			
	Yes No			
	If you answered "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 8.			
	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question No. 10, on the next page.			
8.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Anello C. Garefino was a			
culpable parti	icipant in Herley or Blatt's alleged securities fraud pursuant to Section 20(a) of the			
Securities and	d Exchange Act of 1934?			
Yes	No			
9. evidence that	If you answered "Yes" to questions 7 & 8, do you find by a preponderance of the Anello C. Garefino acted in good faith? Yes No			
PLEA	SE CONTINUE TO THE NEXT PAGE			

Section 20 (a) Claims Against Defendant Thomas V. Gilboy

10.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Thomas V. Gilboy had the			
authority to control Defendants Herley Industries, Inc. and Lee Blatt pursuant to Section 20(a) of				
the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the class period?				
	Yes No			
	If you answered "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 11.			
	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question No. 13, on the next page.			
11.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Thomas V. Gilboy was a			
culpable participant in Herley or Blatt's alleged securities fraud pursuant to Section 20(a) of the				
Securities and Exchange Act of 1934?				
	Yes No			
12.	If you answered "Yes" to questions 10 & 11, do you find by a preponderance of			
the evidence that Thomas V. Gilboy acted in good faith?				
	Yes No			

PLEASE CONTINUE TO THE NEXT PAGE

Section 20 (a) Claims Against Defendant John M. Kelley

13.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that John M. Kelley had the			
authority to c	ontrol Defendants Herley Industries, Inc. and Lee Blatt pursuant to Section 20(a) of			
the Securities	and Exchange Act of 1934 during the class period?			
	Yes No			
	If you answered "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 14.			
	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question No. 16, on the next page.			
14.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that John M. Kelley was a			
culpable parti	cipant in Herley or Blatt's alleged securities fraud pursuant to Section 20(a) of the			
Securities and Exchange Act of 1934?				
	Yes No			
15.	If you answered "Yes" to questions 13 & 14 regarding, do you find by a			
preponderanc	e of the evidence that John M. Kelley acted in good faith?			
	Yes No			

PLEASE CONTINUE TO THE NEXT PAGE

PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSIBILITY UNDER SECTION 20(a) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

YOU SHOULD RESPOND TO QUESTION NO. 16 ONLY FOR THOSE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS FOR WHOM YOU HAVE ANSWERED "YES" TO THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS UNDER SECTION 20(A), AND ANSWERED "NO" TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THAT PARTICULAR DEFENDANT ACTED WITH GOOD FAITH

16. Using the chart below, state the percentage of responsibility of each person who violated Section 20(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, measured as a percentage of all persons who caused or contributed to the loss of the plaintiff class. You should not allocate any percentage of responsibility to anyone who did not violate Section 20(a). The total of all percentages must be 100%.

	Did this person violate Section 20(a)?	Percentage of Responsibility
	Yes No	
Lee Blatt	(Copy answer from question 2 above)	%
	Yes No	
Myron Levy	(Copy answer from question 4 above.)	%
	Yes No	
Anello C. Garefino	(Copy answer from question 6 above.)	%
	Yes No	
Thomas V. Gilboy	(Copy answer from question 8 above.)	%
	Yes No	·
John M. Kelley	(Copy answer from question 10 above.)	%
TOTAL	•	100%

FOR EACH DEFENDANT THAT YOU DID NOT ALLOCATE ANY PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR A VIOLATION OF SECTION 20(a), YOU MUST RETURN A VERDICT AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND FOR THAT PARTICULAR DEFENDANT OR DEFENDANTS. IF YOU FIND THAT A VIOLATION OF SECTION 20(a) OCCURRED, PLEASE CONTINUE TO THE DAMAGES VERDICT FORM.